

III. **REMARKS**

Claims 4-10, 12-35, and 48-78 are pending.

Claims 4-6, 8, 10, 12, 13, 19, 25, 48, 54, 60, 71-73, 75, 77, and 78 are the only pending independent claims.


Claims 71-77 are reinstatements of claims 39-45, respectively. Claim 78 is a reinstatement of claim 47.

The pending claims were found allowable in the International Preliminary Report of Patentability for the associated PCT application PCT/US04/26736. A copy of the International Preliminary Report of Patentability for the associated PCT application PCT/US04/26736 is attached as Attachment 1.

10/16/2006

Date

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Richard A. Neifeld', is written over a horizontal line.

Richard A. Neifeld, Ph.D.

Registration No. 35,299

Attorney of Record

BTM

Date/Time: October 12, 2006 (12:07pm)

Y:\Clients\Catalina\PIP-155-CARR\PIP155CARR-US\Drafts\SecondPrelimAmend_061011.wpd

Attachment 1 From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

PCT

**NOTIFICATION CONCERNING
TRANSMITTAL OF COPY OF INTERNATIONAL
PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY
(CHAPTER I OF THE PATENT COOPERATION
TREATY)**

(PCT Rule 44bis.1(c))

To: Data Verified 9/7/2006 BMR
BTM: Attorney Review 9/14/2006
BTM: Prep and File IDS (if necessary) 9/21/2006

NEIFELD, Richard, A.
Neifeld IP Law, P.C.
4813-B Eisenhower Avenue
Alexandria, VA 22304
ETATS-UNIS D'AMERIQUE

Date of mailing (day/month/year) 31 August 2006 (31.08.2006) ✓		
Applicant's or agent's file reference PIP155CARRP-PCT ✓		
IMPORTANT NOTICE		
International application No. PCT/US2004/026736 ✓	International filing date (day/month/year) 13 September 2004 (13.09.2004) ✓	Priority date (day/month/year) 22 September 2003 (22.09.2003) ✓
Applicant CATALINA MARKETING INTERNATIONAL, INC. et al ✓		

The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

Notification_PIP155CARRP-PCT_0600907.PDF

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland	Authorized officer Nora Lindner
Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70	e-mail: ps02@wipo.int

Form PCT/IB/326 (January 2004)

notification-PIP155CARRP-PCT-0600907

Attachment 1

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference PIP155CARRP-PCT ✓	FOR FURTHER ACTION		See item 4 below
International application No. PCT/US2004/026736 ✓	International filing date (day/month/year) 13 September 2004 (13.09.2004) ✓	Priority date (day/month/year) 22 September 2003 (22.09.2003) ✓	
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237 ✓			
Applicant CATALINA MARKETING INTERNATIONAL, INC. ✓			


<p>1. This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).</p> <p>2. This REPORT consists of a total of 4 sheets, including this cover sheet.</p> <p>In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.</p>																
<p>3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:</p> <table> <tr> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Box No. I</td> <td>Basis of the report</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/> Box No. II</td> <td>Priority</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/> Box No. III</td> <td>Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/> Box No. IV</td> <td>Lack of unity of invention</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Box No. V</td> <td>Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/> Box No. VI</td> <td>Certain documents cited</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/> Box No. VII</td> <td>Certain defects in the international application</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/> Box No. VIII</td> <td>Certain observations on the international application</td> </tr> </table> <p>4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis .2).</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Box No. I	Basis of the report	<input type="checkbox"/> Box No. II	Priority	<input type="checkbox"/> Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability	<input type="checkbox"/> Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement	<input type="checkbox"/> Box No. VI	Certain documents cited	<input type="checkbox"/> Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application	<input type="checkbox"/> Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Box No. I	Basis of the report															
<input type="checkbox"/> Box No. II	Priority															
<input type="checkbox"/> Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability															
<input type="checkbox"/> Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention															
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement															
<input type="checkbox"/> Box No. VI	Certain documents cited															
<input type="checkbox"/> Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application															
<input type="checkbox"/> Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application															

<p>The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland</p> <p>Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70</p>		<p>Date of issuance of this report 22 August 2006 (22.08.2006)</p> <p>Authorized officer Nora Lindner</p> <p>e-mail: pt02@wipo.int</p>
--	--	---

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:
RICHARD A. NEIFELD
NEIFELD IP LAW, P.C. ✓
2001 JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGHWAY, SUITE 1001
ARLINGTON, VA 22202



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

Date of mailing (day/month/year) 28 JUN 2006 ✓		
FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below		
Applicant's or agent's file reference PIP155CARRP-PCT ✓		
International application No. PCT/US04/26736 ✓	International filing date (day/month/year) 13 September 2004 (13.09.2004) ✓	Priority date (day/month/year) 22 September 2003 (22.09.2003) ✓
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC IPC(7): G06F17/60 and US Cl.: 705/14		
Applicant CATALINA MARKETING INTERNATIONAL, INC ✓		

1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

- ☒ Box No. I Basis of the opinion
- ☐ Box No. II Priority
- ☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention
- ☒ Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited
- ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application
- ☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

2. FURTHER ACTION

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Facsimile No. (703)305-3230	Authorized officer Eric Stamber Telephone No. 571-272-3600
---	--

Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (January 2004)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/US04/26736

Box No. I Basis of this opinion

1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

☐ This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language _____, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).

2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:

a. type of material

☐ a sequence listing

☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing

b. format of material

☐ in written format

☐ in computer readable form

c. time of filing/furnishing

☐ contained in international application as filed.

☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.

☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.

3. ☐ In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.

4. Additional comments:

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.
PCT/US04/26736

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Claims 4-10, 12-35, 39-45, 47-70	YES
	Claims 1-3, 11, 36-38, 46	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims 4-10, 12-35, 39-45, 47-70	YES
	Claims 1-3, 11, 36-38, 46	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims 1-70	YES
	Claims NONE	NO

2. Citations and explanations:

1. Claims 1-3, 11, 36-38, and 46 do not meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(4) as being anticipated by Katz et al., US 6,055,513 (SEI AT LEAST abstract, Figs. 1-7 and associated text).

Katz discloses apparatus and methods for effecting remote commerce, such as in telemarketing (either inbound or outbound) and in electronic commerce, which are particularly adapted for the intelligent selection and proffer of products, services or information to a user or customer. Goods, service or information are provided to the user via electronic communication, such as through a telephone, videophone or other computer link, as determined by the steps of first, establishing communication via the electronic communications device between the user and the system to effect a primary transaction or primary interaction, second, obtaining data with respect to the primary transaction or primary interaction, including at least in part a determination of the identity of the user or prospective customer (i.e. a database containing CID and apparatus for access thereto as claimed is disclosed), third, obtaining at least a second data element relating to the user (i.e. "non-transaction demographic data" as claimed), fourth, utilizing the primary transaction or primary interaction data along with the at least second data element as factors in determining at least one good, service or item of information for prospective upsell to the user or prospective customer, and offering the item to the prospective customer. In the preferred embodiment, the selection of the proffer of goods, services or information comprises an upsell with respect to the primary transaction (i.e. transaction data as claimed is disclosed) or primary interaction data. The offer of the upsell is preferably generated and offered in real time, that is, during the course of the communication initiated with the primary transaction or primary interaction. As such Katz anticipates claims 1-3, 11, 36-38, and 46.

2. Claims 4-10, 12-35, 39-45, 47-70 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3) since the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest the claimed feature of a value for the assumed non-transaction demographic data field is a probability or an expectation.

3. Claims 1-70 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4) and thus have industrial applicability since the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry.